International Journal of Education and Social Science Research

ISSN 2581-5148

Vol. 8, Issue.1, Jan-Feb 2025, page no. 145-152

To cite this article: IKOGHO, Doris Eseoghene, PhD and AKPOKINIOVO, Rukevwe, Samuel, PhD (2025). THE PSYCHOSOCIAL IMPACT OF STRESS ON CHRONIC ILLNESS, International Journal of Education and Social Science Research (IJESSR) 8 (1): 145-152 Article No. 1022, Sub Id 1603

THE PSYCHOSOCIAL IMPACT OF STRESS ON CHRONIC ILLNESS

IKOGHO, Doris Eseoghene[1], PhD and AKPOKINIOVO, Rukevwe, Samuel[2], PhD,

[1]Department of Health and Safety Education,
Faculty of Education, Delta State University,
Abraka. Delta State,
Nigeria.
Email: ikogho.doris@delsu.edu.ng
ORCID NO:0009-0007-2005-1699

[2]Science Education Department, Delta State University, Abraka
Abraka Email: samuel.akpokiniovo@delsu.edu.ng
ORCID: 0000-0002-5071-949X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37500/IJESSR.2025.8110

ABSTRACT

Chronic illnesses, such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer, are a global health challenge, disproportionately affecting low- and middle-income countries. This article explored the multifaceted dimensions of chronic illness management, thus emphasizing the psychosocial burden on caregiver and the role self-compassion plays coupled with the potential integrated care systems radiate. This review is hinged on the Health Belief Model as a framework, the discussion links individual behaviors with systemic barriers, highlighting opportunities for tailored, community-centered interventions. Emerging technological solutions, such as AI-driven tools and wearable health technologies, are explored for their potential to address resource disparities. The article also evaluated mindfulness as sound interventions supported by resilience-building strategies, these tools can be useful for mitigating stress and improving health outcomes. While these tools are promising, the approaches require greater cultural adaptability and resource investment, especially in under-resourced settings. The article therefore concluded by identifying research gaps, advocating for longitudinal studies, thus promoting scalable, equitable interventions to promote chronic illness management and reduce the global health disparities.

KEYWORDS: chronic illness, global health challenge, scaling up

INTRODUCTION

Chronic illnesses are a formidable global health challenge, contributing significantly to morbidity and mortality, thus contributing to increasing overall healthcare costs. Conditions like cardiovascular diseases, diabetes or cancer account for 60% of deaths and 50% of the global disease burden, disproportionately affecting developing countries where they contribute to 80% of deaths (Slama et al., 2013; Luzzatto, 2012). Globally, researchers have established that one in three adults suffers from

multiple chronic health conditions, driving healthcare expenditures to unsustainable levels. For instance, in the United States, 75% of national healthcare budgets are allocated to managing chronic conditions, with the global economic impact projected to reach $47 trillion by 2030 (Hacker, 2024; Hajat & Stein, 2018).

While these statistics revealed the magnitude of the problem, they can obscure regional disparities and the unique challenges faced by different populations. For example, under developed countries may lack the required infrastructure to implement preventive measures effectively, compounding the burden of chronic illnesses. Regional variations, such as disparities in healthcare accessibility, cultural health practices, and economic constraints, significantly influence the efficacy of interventions and warrant further examination (Adewoyin,2015; Adekunle, Alabi, Ogundeyi & Adeola,2023). Addressing this crisis necessitated a paradigm shift towards integrated care systems that emphasized prevention, early detection, and coordinated management. Lifestyle interventions, such as healthy eating, regular physical activity, and smoking cessation, have proven to be effective in reducing the incidence and progression of chronic illnesses (Airhihenbuwa et al., 2021). However, the success of these strategic interventions often depends on cultural adaptability and access to resources, and other factors that require more in-depth exploration in diverse contexts. Moreover, community-centered approaches, policy alignment can help to address the social determinants of health that are pivotal for sustainable management (Tenkate et al., 2009).

An effective response to chronic illnesses also required that an interdisciplinary approach that integrated varied healthcare professionals such as nurses, physicians, pharmacists, and social health workers to provide care that are comprehensive and patient-centered. This model improves clinical outcomes and also contributes to reducing healthcare costs and enhances patient satisfaction (Hansdah et al., 2024). Programs like the High Complexity Unit (HCU) have demonstrated success in reducing hospital re-admissions and fostering greater patient satisfaction (Fontalba-Navas et al., 2025). However, questions remain regarding the scalability and resource requirements of these programs in resource-constrained settings, as well as their long-term sustainability.

Technological advancements also play a critical role, with digital platforms and bioelectronic tools improving data collection, patient engagement, and the efficiency of care delivery (Carmina et al., 2023). Emerging trends, such as AI-driven decision support systems and wearable health technologies, offer additional opportunities for innovation, particularly in resource-limited settings. For instance, these tools can optimize chronic disease management by enabling real-time monitoring and personalized care plans. However, disparities in digital literacy and access pose significant barriers to their widespread adoption, necessitating strategies to bridge this digital divide (Hansdah et al., 2024).

Despite these advancements, challenges persist, including inconsistent implementation of interdisciplinary approaches, coordination issues, and the need for robust leadership to navigate

complex chronic care systems (Calcia et al., 2016). Overcoming these barriers requires strategic frameworks like IPC, which fosters teamwork and enhances patient-centered care, and HCUs, which provide multidisciplinary care for complex chronic patients (Pascucci et al., 2021).

While the global burden of chronic illnesses is immense, many conditions are preventable through evidence-based interventions. A multifaceted approach that combines individual behavior changes, healthcare system reforms, and supportive public policies—can mitigate their impact, optimize healthcare resources, and improve population health outcomes worldwide. By prioritizing prevention and fostering integrated care systems, healthcare systems can rise to the challenge and reduce the economic and health toll of chronic diseases.

THEORETICAL FRAME WORK ON CHRONIC ILLNESS

The Health Belief Model (HBM) was propounded by Irwin Rosenstock in the early 1950s. He introduced the model to understand and predict individuals' health behaviors, particularly in relation to the uptake of health services like screenings and vaccinations (Dou et al. (2017).

Mindfulness Based Interventions (MBIs) are therapeutic practices that incorporate mindfulness techniques like meditation, breathing exercises, and awareness training, to help individuals reduce stress, manage pain and enhance overall well-being. Using

The HBM, the perception of health threats and the benefits of adopting health behaviors is enhanced. In the context of chronic illness management, the techniques of MBIs can be viewed as interventions that can help individuals perceive their health risks (e.g., physical limitations, stress) more clearly and adopt strategies (e.g., mindfulness practices) to mitigate these risks (Fava et al. (2016). The model stressed the importance of self-efficacy, which is relevant for chronic illness management. In this case, individuals' belief in their ability to manage stress, pain, and other symptoms is crucial. Mindfulness practices may enhance perceived control and resilience, thereby promoting the realization of better health behaviors (Ahadzadeh et al. (2015).

The Psychosocial Burden on Caregivers

Caregivers of individuals with chronic illnesses bear substantial psychosocial burdens that significantly impact their emotional, psychological, and social well-being. Evidence indicates a strong correlation between caregiving demands and heightened psychological distress, including depression and anxiety, particularly among female caregivers and those with limited education or resources (Cousino & Hazen, 2013; Russell et al., 2020). However, the overrepresentation of female caregivers in these studies raises questions about gender dynamics that are yet to be fully explored. The severity of the care recipient's condition, such as advanced cancer or progressive diseases like multiple sclerosis, exacerbates caregiver stress (Swathi, 2023; Danilov, 2020). During the COVID-19 pandemic, these challenges intensified, amplifying anxiety and depression among caregivers due to compounded stressors (Bitsko, 2014). Prolonged stress negatively affects both caregivers and care

recipients by reducing care quality and deteriorating health outcomes. Structured social and healthcare support, such as counseling, respite care, and targeted interventions, can improve caregivers' resilience and psychological well-being (Swathi, 2023; Ikogho & Esewe,2012). However, gaps remain in tailoring these interventions to specific cultural and socioeconomic contexts. Linking the discussion of caregiver burden with broader psychosocial and systemic strategies reveals opportunities for more holistic approaches. For example, integrating caregiver support into healthcare policies and community health programs can address systemic gaps, while fostering partnerships between stakeholders to ensure sustainable care delivery (Aguiniga,2024).

Furthermore, demographic-specific programs have shown promise in enhancing caregiver coping strategies and ensuring better care quality (Theodoratou & Diamanti, 2024). For instance, programs tailored to caregivers of children with chronic conditions have demonstrated better outcomes compared to generalized support services, underscoring the importance of context-sensitive approaches. Addressing health inequalities and the unique challenges faced by under-resourced settings could further refine these strategies (Hacker, 2024; Aguiniga,2024).

While caregiving is associated with significant challenges, it can also foster personal growth and resilience, demonstrating the complex interplay between caregiving roles and mental health (Penning & Wu, 2016). However, studies like Russell et al. (2020) highlighted the lack of longitudinal data examining how caregiver stress evolves with changing care demands. Addressing these gaps could lead to more adaptive support systems and equitable care models.

The Role of Self-Compassion and Coping Strategies in Chronic Illness Management

Self-compassion is a critical factor in mitigating the psychosocial stress associated with chronic illnesses, fostering psychological resilience and promoting adaptive coping mechanisms. Ménard et al. (2017) demonstrated that individuals with chronic conditions, such as inflammatory bowel disease and arthritis, who exhibit higher levels of self-compassion experience reduced stress and adopt more adaptive coping strategies. However, the limited sample sizes in such studies call for caution in generalizing these findings. Similarly, Sirois et al. (2015) identified loneliness as a significant biopsychosocial stressor that exacerbates the health challenges of individuals with chronic conditions. Their findings emphasized the importance of interventions targeting social support and emotional well-being to alleviate stress and enhance health outcomes. Yet, cultural nuances in the perception of loneliness are seldom addressed, which could limit the applicability of these interventions globally. Chronic stress not only impacts psychological well-being but also triggers biological changes, such as neuroinflammation, that contribute to the progression of chronic conditions and mental illnesses (Calcia et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2011). Integrated interventions addressing both the psychological and biological dimensions of stress are necessary for optimizing chronic illness management (Berens et al., 2017). However, implementing such integrative models requires substantial resource allocation and workforce training, areas that remain underexplored.

International Journal of Education and Social Science Research

ISSN 2581-5148

Vol. 8, Issue.1, Jan-Feb 2025, p no. 145-152

Mindfulness-Based Interventions and Resilience in Chronic Illness Management

Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) are a promising avenue for addressing stress in individuals with chronic illnesses, with growing evidence supporting their psychological and physiological benefits. Wade et al. (2012) demonstrated that MBIs enhance psychological outcomes by fostering mindfulness, improving coping mechanisms, and bolstering resilience, thereby improving quality of life. However, the heterogeneity in study designs and intervention protocols complicates cross-study comparisons and the establishment of best practices.

Complementing this, Petitte et al. (2015) highlighted the neurobiological underpinnings of mindfulness practices, showing their capacity to modulate brain function, enhance emotional regulation, and build stress resilience. While these findings are promising, they largely stem from high-income settings, raising concerns about their transferability to low-resource contexts.

Recent studies underline the role of resilience-related psychological interventions (R RPIs), which significantly reduce stress, anxiety, and depression (Janitra et al., 2024). Mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) demonstrated efficacy in mitigating depression, anxiety, and stress during challenging periods such as the COVID-19 pandemic ((Aguiniga,2024). However, regional disparities in access to mindfulness training warrant the development of scalable, culturally sensitive models. By addressing these disparities, innovative approaches, including virtual mindfulness training platforms, can expand accessibility in under-resourced areas (Hansdah et al., 2024).

The Interplay Between Chronic Stress and Mental Health in Chronic Illness

The intricate relationship between chronic stress and mental health is pivotal in understanding the psychosocial dimensions of chronic illness. Carlson (2012) identified burnout syndrome as a manifestation of chronic occupational stress, highlighting the psychological consequences of persistent stressors, including those arising from caregiving or health-related challenges. While insightful, Carlson's work predominantly focuses on occupational settings, leaving gaps in understanding stress dynamics in diverse caregiving roles.

Sirois, Molnar, and Hirsch (2015) emphasized the long-term impact of early-life stress, such as maternal anxiety, on developmental trajectories, stressing the importance of early interventions. Chronic stress exacerbates mental health conditions, including anxiety and depression, with prevalence rates of 68.7% and 58.8%, respectively, among individuals with chronic illnesses (Aguiniga,2024). These statistics highlighted the urgent need for targeted mental health interventions, especially in regions with limited access to mental health services.

Knowledge Gaps and Future Research Directions

The Knowledge Gaps and Future Research Directions section underscores the need for actionable steps to address existing challenges. Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies to evaluate the long-term psychosocial impact of chronic illnesses and caregiving. Additionally, exploring how

health inequalities exacerbate disease burdens in under-resourced settings can provide critical insights for designing equitable interventions. Technological innovations, such as AI-driven predictive models and wearable health monitors, represent promising areas for exploration, particularly in improving chronic disease management in low-resource contexts. Finally, developing culturally sensitive mindfulness-based and resilience-building interventions could bridge gaps in accessibility and efficacy across diverse populations.

REFERENCES

1. Adekunle, A., Alabi, M., Ogundeyi, G. M., & Adeola, E. (2023). Psychosocial burden and coping abilities of caregivers of children with sickle cell disease attending government-owned tertiary health care facilities in Ogun State, Southwest Nigeria. *Babcock University Medical Journal*, *6*(2), Article 304. https://doi.org/10.38029/babcockuniv.med.j.v6i2.304
2. Adewoyin, A. S. (2015). Management of sickle cell disease: A review for physician education in Nigeria (Sub-Saharan Africa). *Anemia*, *2015*. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/791498
3. Aguiniga, A., Ebert, D., Rodriguez, E., Nguyenly, A., & Wesling, M. (2024). The effect of chronic care management on diabetes-related outcomes in interprofessional care of a medically complex patient population. *JACCP: Journal of the American College of Clinical Pharmacy*, *First published*, 04 September 2024. https://doi.org/10.1002/jac5.2024
4. Airhihenbuwa, C. O., Tseng, T.-S., Sutton, V., & Price, L. (2021). Global perspectives on improving chronic disease prevention and management in diverse settings. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, *18*. https://doi.org/10.5888/PCD18.210055
5. Berens, A. E., Jensen, S. K. G., & Nelson, C. (2017). Biological embedding of childhood adversity: From physiological mechanisms to clinical implications. *BMC Medicine, 15.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-017-0895-4
6. Bitsko, R., Claussen, A., Lichstein, J., Black, L. I., Jones, S. E., Danielson, M., Hoenig, J., Jack, S. P. D., Brody, D., Gyawali, S., Maenner, M., Warner, M., Holland, K. M., Perou, R., Crosby, A., Blumberg, S., Avenevoli, S., Kaminski, J., Ghandour, R., & Meyer, L. N. (2022). Mental health surveillance among children — United States, 2013–2019. *MMWR Supplements, 71,* 1–42. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.su7102a1
7. Calcia, M., Bonsall, D., Bloomfield, P., Selvaraj, S., Barichello, T., & Howes, O. (2016). Stress and neuroinflammation: A systematic review of the effects of stress on microglia and the implications for mental illness. *Psychopharmacology, 233,* 1637–1650.
8. Carlson, L. (2012). Mindfulness-based interventions for physical conditions: A narrative review evaluating levels of evidence. *ISRN Psychiatry, 2012.* https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/651583
9. Carmina, E., & Lobo, R. A. (1999). Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): Arguably the most common endocrinopathy is associated with significant morbidity in women. *The Journal of*

Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, *84*(6), 1897–1899. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.84.6.5803

10. Cousino, M., & Hazen, R. (2013). Parenting stress among caregivers of children with chronic illness: A systematic review. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 38*(8), 809–828. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jst049

11. Danilov, A., Benuzh, A., Yeye, O., Compaore, S., & Rud, N. S. (2020). Design of healthcare structures by green standards. *E3S Web of Conferences, 164*, 05002. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202016405002

12. Dou, Kaili., Yu, Robert., Deng, Ning., Liu, Fang., Guan, Yingping., Li, Zhenye., Ji, Yumeng., Du, Ningkai., Lu, Xudong., & Duan, H.. (2017). Patients' Acceptance of Smartphone Health Technology for Chronic Disease Management: A Theoretical Model and Empirical Test. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* , 5 . http://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.7886

13. Fontalba Navas, A., Marin-Olalla, M., Gil-Aguilar, V., Pena-Andreu, J. M., & others. (2015). Mental health promotion: Prevention of problematic internet use among adolescents. *African Journal of Psychiatry, 18*(1), Article 1000218. https://doi.org/10.4172/1994-8220.1000218

14. Hacker, K. (2024). *The burden of chronic disease*. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocpiqo.2023.08.005

15. Hansdah, R. S., Hembram, R. K., Purty, A. (2024). A Comparative Study on Perceived Stress among Patients with Chronic Mental Illness, Caregivers of the Patients, and Normal Control. Int J Psychiatry, 9(1), 01-03.

16. Ikogho, D. E., & Esewe, R. E. (2012). Improving the lives of children living with sickle cell through the doctrine of in-loco parentis. *Nigerian School Health Journal, 24*(1), 11–19.

17. Luzzatto, L. (2012). Sickle cell anaemia and malaria. *Mediterranean Journal of Hematology and Infectious Diseases, 4.* https://doi.org/10.4084/MJHID.2012.065

18. Ménard, C., Pfau, M., Hodes, G., & Russo, S. (2017). Immune and neuroendocrine mechanisms of stress vulnerability and resilience. *Neuropsychopharmacology, 42*, 62–80. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2016.90

19. Penning, M., & Wu, Z. (2016). Caregiver stress and mental health: Impact of caregiving relationship and gender. *The Gerontologist, 56*(6), 1102–1113. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnv038

20. Petitte, T., Mallow, J., Barnes, E. R., Petrone, A., Barr, T. L., & Theeke, L. A. (2015). A systematic review of loneliness and common chronic physical conditions in adults. *The Open Psychology Journal, 8,* 113–132. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874350101508010113

21. Russell, B., Hutchison, M., Tambling, R., Tomkunas, A., & Horton, A. (2020). Initial challenges of caregiving during COVID-19: Caregiver burden, mental health, and the parent–child relationship. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development, 51,* 671–682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-020-01037-x

22. Sirois, F., Molnar, D., & Hirsch, J. K. (2015). Self-compassion, stress, and coping in the context of chronic illness. *Self and Identity, 14,* 334–347. https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2014.996249

23. Smith, A. K., Conneely, K., Kilaru, V., Mercer, K. B., Weiss, T., Bradley, B., Tang, Y., Gillespie, C. F., Cubells, J., & Ressler, K. (2011). Differential immune system DNA methylation and cytokine regulation in post-traumatic stress disorder. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics, 156.* https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.31212

24. Slama, S., Loutan, L., Wernli, D., Verma, S., & Beran, D. (2013). Chronic conditions: Lessons from the frontlines. *Chronic Illness, 9*(2), 83–86. https://doi.org/10.1177/1742395312468458

25. Swathi, K. M., Manjusha, S., Isatrin, J., & Vadakkiniath, G. A. (2023). Prevalence and correlates of stress, anxiety, and depression in patients with chronic diseases: A cross-sectional study. *Middle East Current Psychiatry, 30.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s43045-023-00340-2

26. Tenkate, T., Fleming, M., & FitzGerald, G. (2009). *Disease prevention and control*.

27. Theodoratou, M., & Diamanti, H. (2024). Understanding the traumatic impact of serious chronic illness. *European Psychiatry, 67*(S1), S373–S374. https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.767

28. Wade, D., Howell, D., Weinman, J., Hardy, R., Mythen, M., Brewin, C., Borja-Boluda, S., Matejowsky, C., & Raine, R. (2012). Investigating risk factors for psychological morbidity three months after intensive care: A prospective cohort study. *Critical Care, 16,* R192. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc11677

Ahadzadeh, A. S., Sharif, S. P., Ong, F. S., & Khong, K. W. (2015). Integrating Health Belief Model and Technology Acceptance Model: An investigation of health-related internet use. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 17*(6), e3564. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.3564

Fava, G., Cosci, F., & Sonino, N. (2016). Current psychosomatic practice. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 86*(1), 13-30. https://doi.org/10.1159/000448856